

2022 Water Pipeline Condition Assessment Project Solicitation Number: PS-00115

ADDENDUM 3 10/15/2021

To Respondent of Record:

RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS

- 1. **QUESTION:** Will SAWS reduce the SMWVB requirement given the specialized nature of the scope and lack of available SCTRA-certified firms who perform the work? *Response: The SMWVB requirement has been lowered to 10%. Refer to item #4 in Addendum #2 issued on 10/5/2021.*
- 2. **QUESTION:** Would SAWS prefer us to submit ourselves, be part of one or more teams or are both options (so simultaneously on teams while also submitting ourselves) acceptable? *Response: Firms are able to submit under more than one response, as a part of different teams or as a single vendor. Both options are acceptable.*
- 3. **QUESTION:** Can one of the projects come from a subconsultant in the team? Response: Under C. Response Format, Section 5. Similar Projects and Past Performance, the three previous contracts completed in the last five years can come from subconsultants, as long as the subconsultant is listed as having a major role (high percentage) in the condition inspection of pressurized water pipe work scope. The RFQ states that at least two contracts shall come from the PM, while at least one shall come from key team members. References shall be listed on a contract basis under this section.
- 4. **QUESTION:** Is there any cathodic protection or test stations on the pipelines that will be assessed?

Response: Of the pipes currently being reviewed for condition assessment, very few have cathodic protection or test stations. The majority of SAWS distribution system does not have cathodic protection.

- 5. **QUESTION:** What data base platform does SAWS utilize? Response: SAWS uses a combination of GIS, Infor, and CPMS as their major databases. For this project, all submittals will only need to be through GIS, spreadsheets, word documents, and/or PDF's in order to be compatible with SAWS existing software.
- 6. **QUESTION:** Will as-builts be available for the pipelines requiring assessment? Response: As-builts and any other available documentation will be provided to the firm during the planning stages of each project.
- 7. **QUESTION:** Will any needed traffic control be performed by the SAWS Civil contractor? Response: Traffic control will be managed by SAWS staff, therefore will not need to be provided by the selected firm(s). If any special considerations for traffic control are required for the site inspection, this should be called out within the planning documents by the firm.

- 8. **QUESTION:** If multiple firms are selected, will the \$2M (3 year contract) be divided among the selected firm(s)? Or will it be \$2M for each firm selected? *Response: If multiple firms are selected, the \$2 million contract estimate will be divided among all selected firm*(s).
- 9. **QUESTION:** Is SAWS program open to the idea of deploying multiple technologies during the initial phases of the field assessment to provide an understanding of the strengths and limitations of the selected technologies and determine their optimal application in the system? This may involve deploying different technologies on the same line.

Response: Deploying multiple technologies on the same line will not occur, in the interest of cost savings. If multiple firms are selected, discussions will take place with each to define optimal application, strengths, and limitations. Condition assessment projects throughout the program will be chosen with the intent to provide opportunities for deployment by each major technology.

CLARIFICATIONS

No pricing shall be submitted with the RFQ response. Pricing shall be discussed solely with the selected firm(s), during the contract negotiations.

END OF ADDENDUM

This Addendum is two (2) pages in its entirety.